United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
acts re	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C equire the detention of the defendant pending trial in	C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following n this case.
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense de offense state or local offense that would jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S an offense for which the maximum sente	
	 in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or composition (2) The offense described in finding (1) was composited or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elaptimprisonment for the offense described in findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuilded. 	efendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described parable state or local offenses. Inmitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state cosed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from ading (1). Luttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will erson(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not
	Alt (1) There is probable cause to believe that the d	ernate Findings (A) defendant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum term of imprisonm under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presump	nent of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act of the established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions a defendant as required and the safety of the community.
×	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant wil	ernate Findings (B) I not appear. I endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Written St I find that the credible testimony and information	tatement of Reasons for Detention submitted at the hearing establish by
	fendant is not eligible for release on bond as a paro ect to detention at this time.	le violation detainer has been filed by the State of Michigan; defendant does not
appeal. he Uni	The defendant is committed to the custody of the ions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from . The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opposited States or on request of an attorney for the Government.	ections Regarding Detention Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending portunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	9, 2009	/s/ Timothy P. Greeley
Date		Signature of Judge
		Timothy P. Greeley, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge